Developing an ecological quality classification scheme for the coastal Baltic Sea using Phytoplankton Characterization of the Baltic Sea Ecosystem: Dynamics and Function of Coastal Types CHARM (EVK3-CT-2001-00065)

Objectives of Phytoplankton WP:

- Develop phytoplankton indices for classification of the ecological status of the Baltic Sea coastal types
- Develop reference conditions for these indices for coastal types
- Develop integrated indices (pooling all biological quality elements)
- Recommendations for phytoplankton monitoring (to assess ecological status)



Structure of CHARM project



Completion of Task 1: Quality analysis and harmonization of data

- Metadata survey of all phytoplankton data available from different partners
- Initially large part of the data sets have been collected following HELCOM guidelines
- Agreement of harmonised taxonomic, size class, morphological and functional coding (totally ca. 1575 species)

• Consistent harmonized data files finished by the end of December 2002

Results of metadata analysis:

- 440 sampling stations.
- whole salinity range of the Baltic Sea is covered.
- Stations characterised by low ($\leq 100 \ \mu g \ N \ l^{-1}$) winter-time DIN and eutrophied inner coastal waters ($\geq 1 \ mg \ N \ l^{-1}$)
- Chlorophyll *a* data is available from ca. 30% and abiotic data from most of the stations (> 60%).

Participants of the Phytoplankton WP:

A-S. Heiskanen (1), A.C. Cardoso (1), C. Duhamel (1), R. Piłkaityte (2), Z. Gasiunaite (2), I. Olenina (2), A. Razinkovas (2), H. Schubert (3), S. Sagert (3), P. Kauppila (4), N. Wasmund (5), A. Jaanus (6), P. Hendriksen (7), S. Gromisz (8), Z. Witek (8), and I. Purina (9)

(1) EC-Joint Research Centre, Institute of Environment and Sustainability, (2) Coastal Research & Planning Institute, Klaipeda University, <u>Lithuania</u>; (3) University of Rostock, <u>Germany</u>; (4) Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki, <u>Finland</u>; (5) Baltic Sea Research Institute, Rostock-Warneminde, <u>Germany</u>; (6) Estonian Marine Institute, Tallinn, <u>Estonia</u>; (7) National Environmental Research Institute, Roskilde, <u>Denmark</u>; (8) Department of Fisheries, <u>Oceanography</u> and Marine Ecology, Gdynia, <u>Poland</u>; (9) Institute of Aquatic Ecology, University of Latvia, Salaspils, <u>Latvia</u>

Coordinator of CHARM: Bo <u>Rieman</u>, National Environmental Research Institute, Roskilde, Denmark

http://www.dmu.dk/1_Viden/2_Miljoe-tilstand/3_vand/4_Charm/charm_main.htm

Next steps:

• Clustering analysis to establish groups determined by salinity; considering both average salinity and amplitude of salinity changes.

- Analysis for seasonality; to detect seasonal "events" / blooms
- a) spring bloom of diatoms

b) summer maximum of cyanobacteriac) summer maximum of N-fixingspecies

- d) autumn bloom of diatoms
- e) winter maximum of cryptophytes
- Finally holistic, multivariate statistical approach to establish functional relationships btw phytoplankton parameters and abiotic factors;
- Results will be underpinned by further analysis with restricted numbers of variables